```
Christina L. Hunt
 1
    FEDERAL DEFENDERS OF EASTERN WASHINGTON AND IDAHO
 2
   10 North Post, Suite 700
    Spokane, Washington 99201
 3
   (509) 624-7606
 4
   Attorneys for Defendant
   ANTHONY BURKE
 5
                      UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
                      EASTERN DISTRICT OF WASHINGTON
                     (HONORABLE WM. FREMMING NIELSEN)
 6
 7
   UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,
                                       CR-06-113-WFN
 8
                   Plaintiff,
 9
        Vs.
                                        Defendant's Objections to
                                        the Presentence Report
10
   ANTHONY BURKE,
11
                   Defendant.
12
13
   TO:
         JAMES McDEVITT, UNITED STATES ATTORNEY
         JOSEPH H. HARRINGTON, ASSISTANT UNITED STATES ATTORNEY
14
15
         ANTHONY BURKE, through counsel, Christina L. Hunt for the
16
   Federal Defenders of Eastern Washington and Idaho, hereby files
17
    these his objections to the presentence report prepared in the
18
   above-styled case.
19
            Mr. Burke objects to Paragraph 32, and its addition of
20
   four points for possessing the ammunition in connection with
21
   another offense, i.e. domestic violence, harrassment. In order
22
   for this addition to be proper, the ammunition must have
2.3
   facilitated or had the potential of facilitating the other felony
24
   offense. See U.S.S.G. §2K2.1, Application Note 14. In the case
25
   at bar, Mr. Burke made threats of harm to his mother after he and
26
   his mother had been arguing about whether or not he had committed
27
```

Defendant's Objections to the PSR

damage to a neighbor's home. Mr. Burke denies that he showed his mother the ammunition. Furthermore, the ammunition did not facilitate the verbal threat in any way, nor did it have the potential of facilitating the threat. There was no weapon found, and Mr. Burke had no way to use the ammunition to facilitate any verbal threat.

Given the above, the appropriate offense level is thus 12, not 15, with a resulting guideline range of 10-16 months.

2. Mr. Burke objects to the inclusion in the report of Paragraphs 42 through 65 which are based upon unsubstantiated accounts of conversations Mr. Burke had with inmates at the Spokane County Jail. Mr. Burke further objects to the inclusion in these paragraphs of tales that Mr. Burke had stored certain documents and images on his computer. Law enforcement officials never corroborated, from any search of Mr. Burke's laptop, any of these documents or images. When the laptop was viewed by law enforcement, no such documents nor images were found on his computer. A computer forensic search was not done, although if said search was done, any deleted files could have been viewed if they existed.

These Paragraphs portray Mr. Burke as a dangerous individual, yet they are not based upon evidence. The information included comes only from persons with self interests which makes the information suspect. No criminal charges of any sort were brought on the basis of the above information, and as such, its inclusion in the presentence report (which follows Mr.

2.3

Burke through the Bureau of Prisons system) is extraordinarily prejudicial. For this reason, Mr. Burke requests that they be removed in their entirety.

- 3. Mr. Burke objects to Paragraph 77, which claims that Mr. Burke shoved his stepfather. The fourth degree assault charge was dismissed. Mr. Burke denies he ever shoved his stepfather.
- 4. Mr. Burke objects to Paragraph 86 which alleges that Mr. Burke choked his brother Deryk. Mr. Burke explains that he deliberately bumped his brother, but never choked him.
- 5. Mr. Burke objects to Paragraph 95 and 97. Mr. Burke explains that he never stole items from Mr. Havens or anyone else at the apartment building. Mr. Burke states further that Mr. Havens struck Mr. Burke first. This charge was dismissed.
- 6. Mr. Burke objects to Paragraph 102 which alleges that Mr. Burke was unlawfully on the property of the House family. Mr. Burke denies that allegation; the charge was dismissed.
- 7. Mr. Burke objects to Paragraph 112 which alleges that Mr. Burke's relationship with his stepfather became more tense as Mr. Burke's behavioral and mental health problems became more pronounced. Mr. Burke states that the relationship worsened when his stepfather broke his back in 2000 and could not work.
- 8. Mr. Burke contends that the section of the presentence report dealing with his mental and emotional health has been overstated and exaggerated. Mr. Burke alleges that many of his issues are the result of the severe abuse and mistreatment he has suffered at the hands of his stepfather. This mistreatment and

2.3

1	abuse has included giving false information to both law
2	enforcement officials as well as mental health professionals.
3	Dated: August 17, 2007
4	Respectfully Submitted,
5	
6	
7	S/ Christina L. Hunt GA 378501
8	Attorneys for Burke Federal Defenders of
9	Eastern Washington and Idaho 10 North Post, Suite 700
10	Spokane, Washington 99201 Telephone: (509) 624-7606
11	Fax: (509) 747-3539 <u>Tina Hunt@fd.org</u>
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	
27	Defendant's Objections to the PSR 4

Case 2:06-cr-00113-EFS Document 54 Filed 08/17/07

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE I hereby certify that on August 17, 2007, I electronically filed the foregoing with the Clerk of the Court using the CM/ECF System which will send notification of such filing to the following: JOSEPH H. HARRINGTON, Assistant United States Attorney. S/ Christina L. Hunt GA 378501 Attorneys for Burke Federal Defenders of Eastern Washington and Idaho 10 North Post, Suite 700 Spokane, Washington 99201 Telephone: (509) 624-7606 Fax: (509) 747-3539 Email: Tina Hunt@fd.org

Defendant's Objections to the PSR